1 JS-6 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 WESTERN DIVISION 10 VINCENT A. GRIFFIETH, No. 2:22-cv-02749-SSS-PVC 11 **JUDGMENT** Plaintiff, 12 13 KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting 14 Commissioner of Social Security. 15 Defendant. 16 17 Having approved the parties' Stipulation to Remand Pursuant to Sentence Four 18 of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and to Entry of Judgment, THE COURT ADJUDGES AND 19 DECREES that judgment is entered for Plaintiff.¹ 20 21 Pul Mthe 22 DATED: April 13, 2023 23 HON. PEDRO V. CASTILLO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 24 25 ¹ In *Bastidas v. Chappell*, 791 F.3d 1155 (9th Cir. 2015), the Ninth Circuit held that the magistrate judge had the authority to grant Petitioner's request to dismiss two unexhausted claims in his habeas petition without the approval of a district judge, as the magistrate judge's order was simply "doing what [the] habeas petitioner has asked." Id. at 1165. While *Bastidas* is not entirely on point, the stipulation for remand and entry of judgment here is jointly made by the parties, without any compulsion from the magistrate judge. Because there appears to be no danger of undue prejudice to any party, the Court grants the request. 26 27 28